

From: Lisa Feldt/DC/USEPA/US
Sent: 3/20/2012 7:26:14 AM
To: Mathy Stanislaus
CC:
Subject: Re: Can I ask for your response...

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

From: Mathy Stanislaus
Sent: 03/19/2012 09:33 PM EDT
To: Lisa Feldt
Subject: Re: Can I ask for your response...
When do you want to speak?

From: Lisa Feldt
Sent: 03/19/2012 08:41 PM EDT
To: "Mathy Stanislaus" <stanislaus.mathy@epa.gov>
Subject: Fw: Can I ask for your response...

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Lisa

From: Shawn Garvin
Sent: 03/19/2012 08:31 PM EDT
To: Betsaida Alcantara; Bob Sussman; Lisa Feldt; Mathy Stanislaus; Brendan Gilfillan
Subject: Re: Can I ask for your response...
I think we are on the same page.

From: Betsaida Alcantara
Sent: 03/19/2012 08:26 PM EDT
To: Bob Sussman; Lisa Feldt; Shawn Garvin; Mathy Stanislaus; Brendan Gilfillan
Subject: Re: Can I ask for your response...

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

From: Betsaida Alcantara
Sent: 03/19/2012 08:09 PM EDT
To: Bob Sussman; Lisa Feldt; Shawn Garvin; Mathy Stanislaus
Subject: Fw: Can I ask for your response...
Fyi - **Ex. 5 - Deliberative** Its sad how completely wrong they are on this.

From: Abrahm Lustgarten [Abrahm.Lustgarten@propublica.org]
Sent: 03/19/2012 07:27 PM AST
To: Betsaida Alcantara
Subject: Can I ask for your response...
Betsaida,

Several people are suggesting to me that the EPA released incomplete findings on Dimock, and characterized the findings in a way that downplayed the environmental situation, because of pressure from the gas industry and the President's political objectives and statements supporting natural gas.

Could I respectfully ask you for a response to that assertion?

Thank you,
Abrahm Lustgarten